

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Laura Duchnak, Director Base Realignment and Closure Program Management Office U.S. Department of Navy 33000 Nixie Way, Building 50, Suite 207 San Diego, California 92147

Dear Ms. Duchnak:

Thank you for your letter dated December 11, 2020 about the Navy's evaluation of the radiological building remediation goals (RGs) at the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard Superfund Site (HPNS) and the use of the RESRAD BUILD (RRB) and Building Preliminary Remediation Goal (BPRG) calculators as part of the evaluation. In your letter, you ask that EPA reconsider its position on the use of RRB at HPNS in order to allow the Navy to begin radiological retesting of buildings as soon as January 2021.

We described the results of our initial evaluation of the Navy's use of RRB in an [HYPERLINK "https://semspub.epa.gov/work/09/100021232.pdf"]. In our letter, we indicated a lack of confidence in the risk estimates, making it uncertain the RGs would be protective of future residents of the current, onsite buildings. At this time, we cannot support the use of RRB for the retesting of these buildings without a clear and defensible explanation of the choices underlying the Navy's RRB risk estimates.

While EPA remains open to the use of RRB at HPNS, many of it and when the concerns in our August letter remain are unresolved. We ask the Navy to respond to those concerns, particularly user-defined parameters (e.g., ingestion rates, use of the indirect/secondary rather than the direct ingestion option) of RRB that may underestimate cancer risks associated with the future residential use of these onsite buildings.

Also, your letter makes several annulustantiated statements which require more substantiation, especially those related to background levels of radiation expected in the HPNS buildings and whether lower RGs are technically implementable, referring to the sensitivity limits of the radiation scanning equipment used during retesting. We ask the Navy to provide information supporting these statements.

To support your desired January 2021 timeline, we ask the Navy to provide the above requested information as soon as possible. This information will enable EPA to properly reconsider whether the Navy's RRB analysis adequately demonstrates the protectiveness of the radiological building RGs at HPNS for future residential use.

Once we receive your response, we plan to actively consult with our colleagues in EPA's Office of Land and Emergency Management. I would also propose we convene with the other signatories of the HPNS Federal Facility Agreement, at the Dispute Resolution Committee level, to benefit from their input and observations at this critical juncture of the retesting effort.

I look forward to discussing these issues with you and your staff next month. I wish you and your staff all the best in this holiday season,

Formatted: Font: Font color: Black

Sincerely,

Enrique Manzanilla Director, Superfund and Emergency Management Division

ce: Grant Cope, CA Department of Toxic Substances Control Terry Seward, Regional Water Quality Control Board